## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Santonio Tesaunt House	Case No. 1:12 Cr 193
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing defendant be detained pending trial.	under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1		offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of e or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as define which the prison term is 10 y	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for ears or more.
an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.		ximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maxir	num prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
		defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 r comparable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime a minor victim	
		or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon ter under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2	The offense described in finding (1 or local offense.	) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3	<ul> <li>A period of less than 5 years has e offense described in finding (1).</li> </ul>	elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4		a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1	) There is probable cause to believe	that the defendant has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison Controlled Substances Act ( under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	term of ten years or more is prescribed in: 21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) .*
(2	The defendant has not rebutted the	e presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions int's appearance and the safety of the community.
<b>J</b> (1	) There is a serious risk that the def	Alternative Findings (B)
		endant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
		II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention
evidenc	I find that the testimony and informate a preponderance of the evide	ion submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
serious offense convic times.	s criminal history for a man of his age es. He was on probation for a 2009 of ted in 2010. He has also violated bo Defendant's consistent violations of	Defendant has 5 adult felony convictions, mostly for controlled substances drug conviction when he committed two more drug crimes, for which he was not by committing new offenses. Within the last year, he has failed to appear four supervision by committing new offenses and his recent failures to appear conditions of bond imposed by this court.
acmor		
		Part III – Directions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States (	ons facility separate, to the extent pra The defendant must be afforded a re	ustody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending easonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the court appearance.
Date:	August 1, 2012	Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge